IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 834 of 2005

Between:

A. Murali, S/o. Late Venkatesham,
R/o. Warasiguda, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Ranigunj-I Depot, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondent herein in proceeding with the departmental enquiry without supplying the Documents relied on by the Corporation vide charge sheet and suspension order in Proc.No.01/170(22)/2004, RNG-I, dt. 14-12-2004, as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to well established principles of law and as such liable to be set aside.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.M.SUBHAN

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD (S.C.FOR APSRTC)

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

Challenging the impugned proceedings dated 14.12.2004, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

The petitioner was placed under suspension as he had badly abused in vulgar language and insulted a student on 08.10.2004 at about 07.35 A.M. while the student was travelling in the bus.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contended that for a small and trivial incident, the petitioner was placed under suspension and, therefore, the impugned proceedings suffer from serious illegalities and hence, prays to set aside the same.

There is a clear allegation against the petitioner that he badly abused in vulgar language and insulted a student on 08.10.2004 at about 07.35 A.M. while he was travelling in the bus. The preliminary enquiry shows that the petitioner has behaved in a high-handed manner. According to the disciplinary authority, the said incident is very serious in nature. Hence, there are no grounds to set aside the impugned proceedings. Learned counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of this Court that copies of the documents relied upon by the disciplinary authority have not been furnished to the petitioner after translating them into Telugu. Therefore, a direction can be given to the respondent to furnish the documents relied upon by the disciplinary authority.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to

complete the enquiry within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

16.02.2005

usd

To

1. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Ranigunj-I Depot,

Secunderabad.

2 Two CD copies.